

Seattle Film Institute
Biennial Review of Drug and Alcohol Policy

ELECTRONIC CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS

e-CFR Data is current as of July 29, 2014

Title 34: Education

PART 86-DRUG AND ALCOHOL ABUSE PREVENTION

Subpart 8-Institutions of Higher Education

§86.100 What must the IHE's drug prevention program include?

The IHE's drug prevention program must, at a minimum, include the following:

(a) The annual distribution in writing to each employee, and to each student who is taking one or more classes for any type of academic credit except for continuing education units, regardless of the length of the student's program of study, of-

- (1) Standards of conduct that clearly prohibit, at a minimum, the unlawful possession, use, or distribution of illicit drugs and alcohol by students and employees on its property or as part of any of its activities;
 - (2) A description of the applicable legal sanctions under local, State, or Federal law for the unlawful possession or distribution of illicit drugs and alcohol;
 - (3) A description of the health risks associated with the use of illicit drugs and the abuse of alcohol;
 - (4) A description of any drug or alcohol counseling, treatment, or rehabilitation or re-entry programs that are available to employees or students; and
 - (5) A clear statement that the IHE will impose disciplinary sanctions on students and employees (consistent with local, State, and Federal law), and a description of those sanctions, up to and including expulsion or termination of employment and referral for prosecution, for violations of the standards of conduct required by paragraph (a)(1) of this section. For the purpose of this section, a disciplinary sanction may include the completion of an appropriate rehabilitation program.
-

(b) A biennial review by the IHE of its program to-

- (1) Determine its effectiveness and implement changes to the program if they are needed; and
 - (2) Ensure that the disciplinary sanctions described in paragraph (a)(5) of this section are consistently enforced.
-

Seattle Film Institute must conduct the review to:

1. Assess the effectiveness of SFI's Drug and Alcohol Abuse Prevention Program (DAAPP)
2. Evaluate the consistency of sanctions imposed for violations of its disciplinary standards and codes of conduct related to drugs and alcohol
3. Identify areas requiring improvement or modification
4. Describe the research methods and data analysis tools that were used to determine the effectiveness of the program.

Date of review completion: 6/22/18

Period reviewed: 6/1/16- 5/31/18

Period Reviewed:

Seattle Film Institute became eligible for Title IV in May 2016. The period reviewed is from 6/1/16-5/31/18. This is the first biennial review of the Seattle Film Institute Drug and Alcohol Policy. Future reviews are scheduled to take place in even-numbered years. The next review is scheduled to cover the period from 6/1/18-5/31/20.

Reviewers:

Committee members:

David Trees, Registrar

Monique Anair, Associate Director

Gabriel Taylor, Student Success Officer

1. Assess the effectiveness of SFI's Drug and Alcohol Abuse Prevention Program (DAAPP): Is the program effective?

Staff:

Staff and Instructors felt the DAAPP was effective and accomplished its stated purpose.

Students:

Students felt the DAAPP was effective. Students noted that in addition to the inclusion of the DAAP in the student handbook, the review of the DAAP as part of student orientation was particularly useful. Students also mentioned that instructor reminders of the DAAPP prior to scheduled off-campus filming was helpful in the creation of a school culture that adherence to a "drug-free environment" was not just for the physical campus.

2. Evaluate the consistency of sanctions imposed for violations of its disciplinary standards and codes of conduct related to drugs and alcohol. Are disciplinary sanctions described in paragraph (a)(5) of this section consistently enforced?

Staff:

Staff and faculty noted that there were no infractions that occurred during this period and so there were no institutional disciplinary sanctions during the period covered by the review.

Students:

Students had no comments or input in reference to the constituency of sanctions. Students were unaware that there had been no violations of the DAAP during the period covered by this review.

3. Identify areas requiring improvement or modification. Do changes need to be implemented?

Staff:

Staff and instructors did not highlight any specific areas that called for improvement and felt there was no need to modify the DAAP.

Students:

Students did not indicate that there were any areas in the current DAAP that needed to be modified.

4. Describe the research methods and data analysis tools that were used to determine the effectiveness of the program.

Staff:

Methodology: All staff at Seattle Film Institute who have direct contact with students were interviewed individually for this review as were select instructors in both project-based and lecture-based courses. The areas of review detailed above in items 1-3 formed the basis of direct questions to the staff and instructors.

Students:

The committee conducted individual student interviews with a sample that represented 20% of the enrolled students. Students at all degree levels were included in the review. The areas of review detailed above in items 1-3 formed the basis of direct questions to the students.

5. Additional Items

As part of this review, the committee ensured that all contact information for entities or organizations referenced in the DAAP was current. This information includes phone numbers, addresses, and website links. The committee also noted that there were no student withdrawals that could be directly attributed to student violations of the DAAP.

6. Committee Recommendation:

The committee recommends that no changes are required to the Seattle Film Institute DAAPP. The next review is scheduled to occur in June 2020.

This has been reviewed and approved by:



President

5/29/18

Date